TEST CASE INHERITANCE CONTROLLED VIA ATTRIBUTES

Background of the Invention

5   Test automation systems are used to automatically test software-driven systems. Conventional architectures use a test harness, which is typically used to execute test cases, including test cases specified by attributes. Automated tests that use attributes normally consist of a single class with a few methods that are called by a test harness to carry out that test. Tests in accordance with the conventional architecture do

10   not inherit test methods from other classes, which prevents the creation of common base test classes.

          A conventional test harness examines each of the classes and/or methods, examines the attributes present, and then based on the examination of the attributes performs an action. This method of using attributes requires that the code

15   necessary to perform an action to be present in the test harness. Accordingly, it is not possible to create new kinds of test attributes without modifying the test harness. Additionally, the same code often needs to be written (or modified) for each different test harness in which the code is used. The variety of functionality in conventional test harnesses can result in differences between the test harnesses such that a test may be run

20   differently in each test harness (or tests that only run properly in one test harness), which is undesirable.

          In accordance with the present invention, attributes can be reused without requiring modifications to run tests written using different test harnesses.

Summary of the Invention

25   The present invention is directed towards a test case inheritance behavior that can be controlled via attributes. A base test class from which test objects are derived is useful for reducing test case code and management. For example, base test classes and their derived objects can be used to implement steps that are common between an entire set of test classes (e.g., launching the piece of software to be tested

1

and getting it to a certain stage). The principle of inheritance simplifies management of the test software when, for example, the base class is modified. When the base class is modified, all of the test classes which derive from that base class are automatically modified. Accordingly, only one item needs to be modified (instead of every test) when a change is necessary to modify, for example, the way the software launches.

According to one aspect of the invention, a computer-readable medium having computer-executable components comprises two components. A test case scenario object comprises test methods that are arranged to test an electronic system, wherein the test methods that are arranged in a hierarchy that comprises a base class and subclasses, wherein each of the subclasses derives from the base class, and wherein the principle of inheritance is applied to each test method in accordance with the arrangement of the methods within the hierarchy. A test harness is arranged to provide system test services for the test methods.

According to another aspect of the invention, a method for executing test components comprises providing test methods that are arranged to test an electronic system. The provided test methods are arranged in a hierarchy that comprises a base class and subclasses, wherein each of the subclasses derives from the base class. The principle of inheritance is applied to each test method in accordance with the arrangement of the methods within the hierarchy. A test harness is used to provide system test services for the test methods.

.According to yet another aspect of the invention, a system for automated testing comprises two components. A test case scenario object comprises test methods that are arranged to test an electronic system, wherein the test methods that are arranged in a hierarchy that comprises a base class and subclasses, wherein each of the subclasses derives from the base class, and wherein the principle of inheritance is applied to each test method in accordance with the arrangement of the methods within the hierarchy. A test harness is arranged to provide system test services for the test methods.

According to a further aspect of the invention, a system for automated testing comprises means for providing test methods that are arranged to test an electronic system; means for arranging the provided test methods in a hierarchy that

2

comprises a base class and subclasses, wherein each of the subclasses derives from the base class; means for applying the principle of inheritance to each test method in accordance with the arrangement of the methods within the hierarchy; and using a test harness means to provide system test services for the test methods.

Brief Description of the Drawings

FIGURE 1 illustrates an exemplary computing device that may be used in one exemplary embodiment of the present invention.

FIGURE 2 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary environment for practicing the present invention.

FIGURE 3 illustrates of a process 300 flow of an execution engine, in accordance with aspects of the invention.

FIGURE 4 is a further illustration of a process 400 flow of an execution engine, in accordance with aspects of the invention.

Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiment

Throughout the specification and claims, the following terms take the meanings explicitly associated herein, unless the context clearly dictates otherwise. The terminology and interface specifications used herein are not intended to represent a particular language in which a particular object or method should be written. Rather, the terminology and interface specifications are used to describe the functionality and contents of an interface or object, such as function names, inputs, outputs, return values, and what operations are to be performed using the interface (or what operations are to be performed by the object).

Illustrative Operating Environment

With reference to FIGURE 1, one exemplary system for implementing the invention includes a computing device, such as computing device 100. In a very basic configuration, computing device 100 typically includes at least one processing unit 102 and system memory 104. Depending on the exact configuration and type of

3

computing device, system memory 104 may be volatile (such as RAM), non-volatile (such as ROM, flash memory, etc.) or some combination of the two. System memory 104 typically includes an operating system 105, one or more applications 106, and may include program data 107. In one embodiment, application 106 may include a word-

5   processor application 120 that further includes ML editor 122. This basic configuration is illustrated in FIGURE 1 by those components within dashed line 108.

Computing device 100 may have additional features or functionality. For example, computing device 100 may also include additional data storage devices (removable and/or non-removable) such as, for example, magnetic disks, optical disks,

10   or tape. Such additional storage is illustrated in FIGURE 1 by removable storage 109 and non-removable storage 110. Computer storage media may include volatile and nonvolatile, removable and non-removable media implemented in any method or technology for storage of information, such as computer readable instructions, data structures, program modules, or other data. System memory 104, removable

15   storage 109 and non-removable storage 110 are all examples of computer storage media. Computer storage media includes, but is not limited to, RAM, ROM, EEPROM, flash memory or other memory technology, CD-ROM, digital versatile disks (DVD) or other optical storage, magnetic cassettes, magnetic tape, magnetic disk storage or other magnetic storage devices, or any other medium which can be used to store the desired

20   information and which can be accessed by computing device 100. Any such computer storage media may be part of device 100. Computing device 100 may also have input device(s) 112 such as keyboard, mouse, pen, voice input device, touch input device, etc. Output device(s) 114 such as a display, speakers, printer, etc. may also be included. These devices are well know in the art and need not be discussed at length here.

25   Computing device 100 may also contain communication connections 116 that allow the device to communicate with other computing devices 118, such as over a network. Communication connection 116 is one example of communication media. Communication media may typically be embodied by computer readable instructions, data structures, program modules, or other data in a modulated data signal, such as a

30   carrier wave or other transport mechanism, and includes any information delivery

4

media. The term "modulated data signal" means a signal that has one or more of its characteristics set or changed in such a manner as to encode information in the signal. By way of example, and not limitation, communication media includes wired media such as a wired network or direct-wired connection, and wireless media such as

5   acoustic, RF, infrared and other wireless media. The term computer readable media as used herein includes both storage media and communication media.

The present invention is directed towards a test case inheritance behavior that can be controlled via attributes. A base test class from which test objects are derived is useful for reducing test case code and management. For example, base test

10   classes and their derived objects can be used to implement steps that are common between an entire set of test classes (e.g., launching the piece of software to be tested and getting it to a certain stage). The principle of inheritance simplifies management of the test software when, for example, the base class is modified. When the base class is modified, all of the test classes which derive from that base class are automatically

15   modified. Accordingly, only one item needs to be modified (instead of every test) when a change is necessary to modify, for example, the way the software launches. As discussed below, selective inheritance can be used to allow tests to be executed properly using inherited methods.

While inheritance is very useful, inheritance need not be mandated for

20   every method derived from the base test class. In accordance with the present invention, a mechanism is provided for the attributes to select whether inheritance should apply. Using test case inheritance behavior (that can be controlled via attributes) allows tests to be executed properly using inherited methods. Attribute defined inheritance provides a way to order methods based on class hierarchy (where the order

25   is determined by the attribute present). For example, setup steps are run on a base class first, then subclasses, and so on in a recursive fashion (instead of subclasses, then the base class, or even in a random fashion). As described below with reference to Figure 2, the execution engine determines which methods should be inherited and the order in which to execute the methods.

5

An example of pseudocode for illustrating test case inheritance is given below:

```
Class TestEnvironmentBase
        Setup Method
        Teardown Method


Class ApplicationSpecificTest : Inherits from TestEnvironmentBase
        Setup Method
        Test Methods
        Teardown Method
```

Test Methods that will be run for test class ApplicationSpecificTest are listed in the order in which they should run:

```
TestEnvironmentBase.Setup Method
        ApplicationSpecificTest.Setup Method
        ApplicationSpecificTest.Test Methods
        ApplicationSpecificTest.Teardown Method
TestEnvironmentBase.Teardown Method
```

In the above example, the indentations signify class hierarchy and illustrate the principle of inheritance for the methods of the given classes and subclasses. For example, the ApplicationSpecificTest.Setup inherits from the TestEnvironmentBase.Setup class (unless the test author chooses otherwise, in which case the test author can specify that the method should not be inherited).

Accordingly, the test author can write methods that derive from the base class and selectively apply the principles of inheritance to subclasses as desired. The extraction engine orders the methods according to class hierarchy, which determines an execution order. The ordering of the methods can be accomplished by using a comparison function that is defined within the attributes, with the result that the

6

attributes themselves can be used to determine the class hierarchy. Modifying the base class automatically modifies its subclasses unless the attribute states that inheritance is toggled "off."

Additionally, attributes can be inherited that modify the state of the test method. For example, an attribute can be inherited that states that a certain exception is expected (in the case of negative testing). This expectation is inherited and modifies the test method result state from "failure" to "pass," which overrides the default failure case if a test method throws an exception.

Test Automation System Architecture

FIGURE 2 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary environment for practicing the present invention. The exemplary environment shown in FIGURE 2 is a test automation system 200 that comprises test harness 210, test runtime 220, and test case scenario 230.

Test Runtime

In one embodiment, test runtime 220 is a collection of objects that abstracts knowledge of test cases from the test harness. Test runtime 220 typically comprises test services provider object 221, extraction engine 222, attributes 223, and test method executor 224. Test runtime 220 can be used by different harnesses to provide consistent support for a particular type of test case format. By extension, a test harness can use different test runtimes to support different types of test case formats. The test harness typically determines which test runtime to use for a particular test case format.

Test case extraction is accomplished through a dedicated extraction object (obtained from a test services provider object 221, described below), and invocation is performed by an object (test method executor 224, also described below), which returns a generic result to the test harness. The test method executor evaluates attributes using a generic interface to control the execution of the method.

A test harness is not required to, for example, evaluate attributes, determine the order that in which test methods should executed, build argument lists for method invocations, and the like. The test harness typically does not have direct access to this information required to perform those tasks, which helps ensure more consistent

5   test execution between different test harnesses. All test harness dependent functionality (logging, "remoting," and the like) should be objects implemented by the test harness, described by an interface, and stored in the test service provider object for use during test execution. Accordingly, a test harness can be created which is easily capable of switching between different test runtimes without requiring changes to the test harness

10   code.

Test Services

Test services provider object 221 is used by the test harness to retrieve an extraction engine and is also used by the test case scenario to retrieve objects for test

15   harness-implemented functionality (including test services such as logging, synchronization, and the like).

The test services provider object typically provides methods that are used to facilitate access to test services. An AddService method is called to store references to objects that implement a test service. A test service object should implement a

20   "generic" type, which facilitates a set of standard services that different harnesses can provide. The object passed in should implement functionality needed by attributes or test methods. This method should be called by the test harness for each service the test harness provides, which is generally done before the test methods are executed.

A GetService method is typically called to retrieve a type of test service

25   object. The type passed in should represent an interface implemented by a test service object. An object that implements that object will be returned if found. If the object is not found, a value is returned that indicates such a result (e.g., returns a null). If a test or attribute requires a test service that is not present, the test method being executed should fail.

8

An AddDefaultServices protected method is typically called by the constructor. It is typically used to add whatever test services the test runtime provides by default, such as an extraction engine.

The test services provider object data and method calls should be static

5   such that the data set by the test harness can be retrievable by other calls to the test service provider at a point later in time. The object is typically a class that implements the functionality described above. The constructor of the object is typically used to call the AddDefaultServices method.

10   Extraction Engine

Extraction engine 222 is used to retrieve an ordered list of test methods from the test case for the test harness for a particular test case scenario. Typically there is only one extraction engine present in a test runtime.

A GetTestMethodWrappers method is used to retrieve the ordered list of

15   test methods for a test case scenario. (A test method wrapper is a particular implementation of a test method executor.) A parameter is passed into the method that represents a container that holds test cases. The method returns the ordered list of methods. If an error occurs while extracting the test case, an exception can be "thrown." If no test cases or test methods are found, an empty list is normally returned.

20   An empty list is usually treated as a failure by the test harness. If additional data needs to be passed to the extraction engine, it can be provided through the TestServicesProvider object by a TestHarnessDataProvider test service.

A large part of the functionality present in an extraction engine object is the same between different runtimes; the only detail that may change significantly from

25   one runtime to another is which attributes are used. An object should be created in order to facilitate easy creation of new extraction engines and runtimes. This class typically implement the functions:

TypeIsATestCase returns a "true" if the type passed in is a test case, "false" if not. This function examines the attributes present on a type by looking for a

30   test case attribute to determine if a type is a test case or not.

9

MethodIsATestMethod returns true if the method passed in is a test method, false if not. This function examines the attributes present on a type by looking for an execution attribute to determine if a type is a test method or not.

GetMethodWrappersFromType gathers all relevant public non-static 5 methods on a type that have execution attributes and returns the methods as an ordered list of MethodWrappers (discussed below). In an embodiment using the .Net environment,, the list is ordered using the sort functionality built into .Net arrays so that the MethodWrapper calls a compare routine to order the list. If an instance of the type cannot be created, this method fails and returns an empty list.

10 The extraction engine can use a test service to retrieve information that can be used to modify a test extraction. The information can be stored in a file such as an XML file that is in accordance with a schema defined for storing test extraction information. If the data provided by the test service does not refer to an XML file in accordance with the schema, the modification data can be ignored.

15 The extraction engine typically loads all extraction modifier XML files specified. The contents of the XML files are, for example, placed into two "buckets:" test inclusions, and test exclusions. If both buckets are empty, the extraction engine should include all tests. This case is equivalent to having no extraction modifier xml files, or not being able to retrieve the Extraction Engine Data Source test service. If 20 only the exclusion bucket is empty, the extraction engine should include all tests. If only the inclusion bucket is empty, the extraction engine should include all tests, and exclude tests listed in the exclusion bucket. If both buckets have data, the extraction engine should include tests in the inclusion bucket that are not listed in the exclusion bucket (such that the exclusion list has controlling authority over the inclusion list).

25

Test Method Executors

Test method executors 224 are used to execute a test method without requiring the caller to have beforehand knowledge about the method or its attributes. An Invoke method is called to execute a test method. An object holding the result of 30 the operation is returned (pass, fail, skip, and the like). The Invoke method is

responsible for processing the attributes associated with a method and creating a parameter list (if required) for the method being invoked. Execution is typically modified by the attributes associated with the test method.

An Abort method can be called to abort a currently executing test method. The abort typically causes a currently running Invoke method to return. After an abort is performed, no further tests can normally be run.

A CompareTo method is called to compare two Test Method Wrappers. If the result returned is less than zero, it indicates that this method should be executed before the other Test Method Wrapper (to which the method is compared). If the result returned equals zero it indicates that the order in which both methods are executed in does not matter. If the result returned is greater than zero, it indicates that this method should be executed after the other Test Method Wrapper.

A GetMethodAttributes method is called to retrieve sorted list of attributes associated with the test method, which are derived from a common base method attribute class. This sorted list of attributes is used by the Test Method Wrapper in several locations. For example, the Invoke method (as discussed above) uses the sorted list to evaluate the attributes in the correct order. Also, the GetMethodAttributes can be used to compare one method wrapper to another. A call such as "get AttributesDescription" uses the ordered list of attributes to create a string description of the attributes associated with the method.

The test method wrapper has several properties that can be retrieved for use by the test harness, such as by calling "get Description" and "get Name." The properties are generated from the name of the method and the class from which the method was defined. Other properties can be added as needed. Note that these properties do not require the harness to know anything about the test method being queried and that additional properties can be added without requiring modifications to existing test harnesses.

The MethodResult object is used to convey the result of a test method wrapper to the test harness. Because the test harness does not necessarily have

beforehand knowledge of the method being invoked, the results are expressed in abstract form.

The object typically needs to express three possible outcomes from trying to execute a method: pass, skip, or fail. A "pass" would typically indicate that

5   the method completed execution without any errors (for example, the method logged no failures, the test method did not throw an exception, and none of the attributes had an error). An "error" would indicate that the method failed (for example, the test method indicated a failure, or an attribute indicated a failure). A "skip" would indicate that the method was skipped rather than executed (for example, an attribute specifies the test

10   method should only run on a server, but the test is running on a client machine; in which case the method would be skipped).

The MethodResult object can also contain optional messages such as a result message and/or an error message. The result message can be a human readable description of the methods result. Upon a successful execution of a method, this could

15   be left blank, or it could contain the number of passes recorded. For a completed method in which an error occurred, a textual description of the error may be included while the error message can contain the details of the error.

When an exception is "thrown" by an attribute a TestConditionException class can be used to convey a modified method state. For example, three derived

20   classes that map directly to a method state include TestSkipException, TestSucceededException, and TestErrorException.

Attributes 223 are typically used to modify and control the execution of a test. A test is executed according to a test case scenario, which can be defined through the use of attributes. At least three basic types of attributes can be used: class level

25   attributes, method level attributes, and parameter level attributes.

Test class attributes are optional and can be used to modify an instantiated object state, such that test extraction can be skipped or caused to be performed multiple times for a denoted type. Pre- and post-extraction methods are typically used to modify the instantiated object state. Test class attributes allow such

30   variations in test case scenarios to be implemented.

12

Method level attributes are capable of modifying method parameters and method execution. In an embodiment, method level attributes include execution attributes and supplemental attributes. Both attributes have pre- and post-invocation methods. The order in which method level attributes are evaluated is determined by an order property, which is defined when the attribute is written; however, attributes typically have no beforehand knowledge of what other attributes may be present. Execution modification at each stage can be handled by a priority based state system – the attribute returning a state with the highest priority is typically used to determine how execution is modified.

Execution attributes are used to mark a method as a test method. A method without an execution attribute is usually not included in a test. The core responsibility of an execution attribute is to establish a high-level order to the test and to evaluate method results. A method should not have more than one execution attribute. Extraction and execution behavior when more than one execution attribute is present is normally undefined. Examples of execution attributes include "Setup", "Step", and "Teardown" attributes.

Supplemental attributes perform supplemental actions to modify the execution of a test method. The core responsibility of a supplemental attribute is to perform secondary tasks that are necessary for the execution of a test. They typically are not used to denote high-level order. A method may have any number of supplemental attributes. Examples of supplemental attributes include "WaitFor" and "Target" attributes.

Parameter level attributes are optionally used to modify the parameter input to a method and to modify a state of an object (e.g., the context state) after a method has executed. A parameter level attribute is normally not used to alter the execution of a test as method level attributes are used. However, if an exception is thrown, the test method fails immediately in response to the exception. In an embodiment, there is only one parameter level attribute per parameter; behavior with more than one parameter level attribute is left undefined. Parameter level attributes are evaluated after method level attributes are evaluated before a method is invoked, and

13

before method level attributes are evaluated after a method is invoked. An example of a parameter level attribute includes the "ContextMapping" attribute.

The MethodState object is used by method attributes to control the execution of a test method. Because a plurality of attributes can be assigned to a test method (and because each attribute can potentially alter the execution of the test method), each attribute can communicate with the MethodState object to ensure consistent execution of the test method.

The MethodState object can comprise information related to the execution state, a message, an error code, and a state override priority. The execution state comprises information regarding how the method has terminated (e.g., skip, pass, fail), whether the state is permitted to be changed, and whether the method should be executed. The message can be used to optionally present text that indicates why the test method is in a particular state. The error code can be used to indicate the details of an error that the test method wrapper might encounter while executing a test method. The state override priority field can be used to improve the consistency of test method execution by allowing the execution state, message, and the error code to be altered only if the new state has a priority greater than the existing state.

The test method wrapper (224) executes a test method until a terminating state is reached. When the terminating state is reached, the MethodResult object is constructed from the final MethodState object.

Execution attributes are responsible for parsing the result obtained from a method invocation. To determine if a method passed or failed, logs can be monitored for pass and fail entries. If any failures are logged, the method likely failed. If no passes or failures were logged, the method also likely failed. If an exception was thrown from the test method or any attributes, the method again likely failed. Otherwise the method can be considered to have (successfully) passed.

Test Case Scenario

In one embodiment, test case scenario 230 is a collection of objects that coordinate the execution of test methods for a particular test case. The test methods can

14

be written without beforehand knowledge of the test harness because of the interface provided by and through the test method executor (224).

Test case scenario 230 typically comprises test methods 231 and other methods and data 232. Test methods access test harness objects by using the runtime object (which comprises the test method executor), rather than by querying specific test harnesses.

Test Harness

In one embodiment, test harness 210 is a collection of objects that coordinate the execution of test cases and provides various test services. Test harness 210 typically comprises a UI (User Interface) 211, an Execution Engine 212, a Context object 213, and a Logging object 214. The test harness for purposes of added functionality may comprise other objects such as an automation system interface.

The execution engine (212) is responsible for loading and executing test case scenarios using the test runtime (220). FIGURE 3 illustrates of a process 300 flow of an execution engine, in accordance with aspects of the invention. After a start block, the process moves to block 310, at which point a test runtime is loaded. In an embodiment wherein the test runtime is written in .Net, the test runtime assembly and test case scenario assembly is loaded into an AppDomain. The test harness can display information such as the version of the .Net runtime loaded, or the version of the test runtime being used.

At block 320, one or more test cases are loaded/compiled into memory. The test cases can be precompiled and loaded into memory or loaded into memory and then compiled. The test harness can display information about the test case scenario as well as whether the test case scenario loaded and/or compiled successfully.

Continuing at block 330, the extraction engine is obtained. The extraction engine is obtained by first retrieving the test services provider object (221). Next, the type of the base extraction engine is determined from the test method executor (220). The static GetService function on the test services provider object is called

15

(passing the type of the base extraction engine to the test services provider object) to receive a reference to an extraction engine.

At block 340, test harness functionality is added to the test services provider. The AddService method on the test services provider is used to add to the test services provider the test services that are implemented on the test harness. The test services include objects that implement various interfaces such as the reboot mechanism, logging, context, and the like. If it is desirable to pass data to the extraction engine (such as, for example, an XML file to specify that certain method should be included or skipped), a test service object that implements a test harness data provider interface can be used.

At block 350, the extraction engine is used to get test case steps. The extraction engine obtained at block 330 is used to call a GetTestMethodWrappers method of the extraction engine, passing to the method the AppDomain holding the test case. An array of TestMethodWrappers is typically returned.

The array of method wrappers typically contains a list of test actions that should be executed in the order in which they are present in the array. (The extraction engine is typically responsible for ordering the array).

At block 360, the list of methods retrieved at block 350 is executed in the order in which the methods are listed. Each method is executed by calling the Invoke method. The invoke method typically returns details about the result the test action. The details may include a success/fail/skip result, as well as additional details. The details of the result may be, for example, logged, or used to update the UI.

FIGURE 4 illustrates of a process 400 flow of an execution engine, in accordance with aspects of the invention. For each test action, process 400 is repeated. The execution engine calls the InvokeInstanceMethod to initiate the execution of a particular test action. The InvokeInstanceMethod calls the InstanceMethod to invoke the particular test action. The InstanceMethod in turn calls the Method Wrapper (i.e., an example test method executor) to invoke the particular test action.

The method wrapper evaluates and executes the attributes (pre-invocation) of the particular test action. The method wrapper next invokes the test

16

method in accordance with the evaluated/executed test method. After the test method has been executed, the attributes are again evaluated/executed (post-invocation). The method wrapper construes the result of the post-invocation attribute evaluation and returns a value that that signals the result. The ActionResult is passed to the

5   InstanceMethod, and to the InvokeInstanceMethod in turn. The InvokeInstanceMethod evaluates the return value and passes the result to the execution engine.

As a further illustration of the invention, a listing of pseudocode is
10   provided as follows:

ExtractionEngine.GetTestMethodWrappers

```
TestMethodWrappers [] methodWrappers;

For ( each assembly in AppDomain )
{
       For ( each type in assembly )
       {
              If ( TypeIsATestCase(type) )
              {
                     methodWrappers +=
GetMethodWrappersFromType(type)
              }
       }
}

Return methodWrappers
```

ExtractionEngine.GetMethodWrappersFromType

```
TestMethodWrappers [] allMethodWrappers

ExtractionState curState = invalid state
Do
{
       TestMethodWrappers [] instanceMethodWrappers
       curState.Reset()

       if ( type is creatable )
```

17

```
                Object testObject = new type;
        Else
                Return empty list;

5       For ( each attribute on type )
        {
                If ( attribute is kind of test case attribute )
                        curState = Attribute.PreExtract(testObject)
        }
10
        If ( curState = terminating state )
                break;

        MethodInfo [] potentialTestMethods =
15  type.GetAllPublicMethods()
        For ( each method in potentialTestMethods )
        {
                If ( MethodIsATestMethod(method) )
                {
20                      TestMethodWrapper wrapper = new
    Wrapper(obj, method)
                        If (
    !wrapper.GetMethodAttributes[0].Inherit )
                        {
25                              If ( method.definingType == type )
                                        instanceMethodWrappers += wrapper
                        }
                        Else
                                instanceMethodWrappers += wrapper
30              }
        }

        For ( each attribute on type )
        {
35              If ( attribute is kind of test case attribute )
                        curState = Attribute.PostExtract()
        }

        instanceMethodWrappers.Sort()
40      allMethodWrappers += instanceMethodWrappers
    }
    While ( curState != terminating state ) // invalid state
    or skip

45  Return allMethodWrappers;
```

TestMethodWrapper.Invoke

```
MethodState curState = invalid state
Do
{
5        curState.Reset()

         TestMethodAttribute [] methodAttributes =
GetMethodAttributes()
         methodAttributes.Reverse()
10
         // pre-invoke for all attributes
         Try
         {
              // method level attributes
15            For ( each attribute in methodAttributes )
              {
                   MethodState newState =
attribute.PreInvoke(…)
                   if ( newState.execstate != nochange &&
20                       newState.priority > curState.priority
                   )
                   {
                        curState = newState
                   }
25            }
         }
         Catch(UnexpectedCondition)
         {
              curState = new MethodState(UnexpectedCondition);
30       }
         Catch(e)
         {
              // if an attribute throws an exception, the
invoke fails
35            curState = new MethodState(e);
              break;
         }

         // evaluate state and stop invoke if necessary --
40  state must
         // be evaluated before examining parameter level
attributes
         if ( curState.execstate == terminating state )
         {
45            break;
```

19

```
            }

            Try
            {
 5              // parameter level attributes -- these
        attributes can't
                // modify state, but if they throw the invoke
        fails
                For ( each parameter on the method )
10              {
                    For ( each attribute on the parameter )
                    {
                        If ( attribute is kind of
                        MotifParamAttribute )
15                          Attribute.PreInvoke(...)
                    }
                }
            }
            Catch(e)
20          {
                curState = new MethodState(e)
                break;
            }

25          // execute test method
            Object result;
            Try
            {
                result = method.invoke(...)
30          }
            Catch(e)
            {
                // note that if the method throws, the test does
                // not automatically fail; instead the exception
35  is
                // treated as a return value for the execution
        attribute
                // to deal with
                result = e
40          }

            // post-invoke on all attributes
            // if any attribute throws, the invoke fails
            curState.Reset()
45          Try
            {
```

```
            // post invoke for parameter level attributes
            For ( each parameter on the method )
            {
                    For each ( attribute on the parameter )
5                   {
                            If ( attribute is kind of
                            MotifParamAttribute )
                                    Attribute.PostInvoke(…)
                    }
10          }

            // post-invoke on all method level attributes
            For ( each attribute in methodAttributes )
            {
15                  MethodState newState = attrib.PostInvoke(…)
                    If ( newState.execstate != nochange &&
                            newState.priority > curState.priority
    )
                    {
20                          curState = newState;
                    }
            }
        }
        Catch(UnexpectedCondition)
25      {
                curState = new MethodState(UnexpectedCondition);
        }
        Catch(e)
        {
30              curState = new MethodState(e)
                break;
        }
    }
    While ( curState != terminating state )        // success,
35  error,
                                                   // skip, invalid state
    Log final state
    Return MethodResult(curState);
```

ExecutionAttribute.PreInvoke

```
40  logManager = TestServiceProvider.GetService(LogManager)
    If ( logManager == null )
        Throw UnexpectedErrorCondition("LogManager object not
    found")
    logManager.AddListener(us)
```

21

```
        Return MethodState(execute, low priority)

        ExecutionAttribute.PostInvoke

        LogManager.RemoveListener(us)
5
        If ( result is kind of exception )
        {
                Return MethodState(failed, low priority)
        }
10      Else If ( failedCount > 0 )
        {
                Return MethodState(failed, medium priority)
        }
        Else If ( passedCount == 0 )
15      {
                Return MethodState(failed, medium priority)
        }
        Else // passedCount > 0, failedCount = 0
        {
20              Return MethodState(pass, medium priority)
        }


        TargetAttribute.PreInvoke

        If ( Context.CurrentMachine not in RunOnMachinesList )
                Throw UnexpectedSkipCondition(Context.CurrentMachine
25              + " not in " +
                        RunOnMachinesList)
        Else
                Return MethodState(nochange)


        VariationsAttribute.PostInvoke

30      currentVariation++;
        If ( currentVariation < totalVariations )
                Return MethodState(execute, high priority)
        Else
                Return MethodState(pass/fail, medium priority)
35
```

The above specification, examples and data provide a complete description of

the manufacture and use of the composition of the invention. Since many embodiments

of the invention can be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention, the invention resides in the claims hereinafter appended.